



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/806,281	03/23/2004	Shany Elkarat	27300	1844		
7590 05/25/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Martin D. Moy	nihan	ZEWDU, ME	ZEWDU, MELESS NMN			
PRTSI, Inc. P.O. Box 16446		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Arlington, VA	22215	2617				
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2000	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/806	10/806,281 ELKARAT ET AL.						
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
			N. Zewdu	2617					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commureriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statu to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be yreceived by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. atory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may I will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 07 March 200	96.						
•									
<i>'</i> =	·								
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4) 🗌 (	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 (	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ (	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,13,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 (	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌 (	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo ] All b)	or foreign priority u	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT R	Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
		•							
Attachment(	s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	0.450)				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/06; 1/31/06</u> .	TO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTo	U-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/806,281 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on 3/7/06.
- 2. Claims 9, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 18-39 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 11, 13, 16 and 17 are pending in this action.
- 4. The indicated allowability to claims 10, 15 and 16 has been withdrawn due to a newly discovered prior art and a new ground of rejection based on previously discovered prior art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hronek (US 6,564,055 B1) in view of Jiang et al. (Jiang) (us 2004/0087305 a1). **As per claim 1:** Hronek discloses a remote preference unit influencing visited network selection by roaming units (see abstract, particularly, lines 1-21), the preference unit comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/806,281

Art Unit: 2617

a detection unit for detection of roaming activity by mobile units (see abstract, particularly, lines 16-24; col. 5, lines 18-34). When a mobile enters a new geographic area (visited area/network), a detectable triggering event is generated in the network.

a database indicative of preference networks for selection by roaming units, and an output unit, associated with said detection probe and said database to output indications to influence network selection by said detected roaming unit (see abstract, particularly lines 1-24; col. 3, lines 40-61). But, Hronek does not explicitly teach about outputting roaming rejection signals to roaming request attempts to respective nonpreferred roaming networks, and sending said roaming rejection signals to location infrastructure of respective non-preferred networks, thereby to cause roaming request attempt to fail at said non-preferred network and force said mobile units to re-attempt roaming requests to select a preferred roaming network, as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Jiang teaches about "method and system for cellular network traffic redirection", wherein the system and method include determining when a roaming mobile station initiates a registration attempt with a non-preferred network and causing (forcing) the roaming mobile station to initiate a registration attempt with a preferred network (see abstract); wherein outputting roaming rejection signals further include a home network sending (on the SS7 signaling link) "update location end" response to a VLR (visiting/foreign network) (paragraphs 0084; 109-110; 0117; 0043-0048; 0030; 0034). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Hronek with that of Jiang for the advantage of a network operator to retain some control Art Unit: 2617

over a roaming subscriber when a subscriber initiates an attempt to register on a non-prefered network (see paragraph 0016).

Page 4

As per claim 2: Hronek discloses a remote preference unit, further comprising logic for assigning different preference information under different conditions (see col. 6, lines 60-64). New location, selecting of particular subscription plan and time of day are different conditions.

As per claim 3: Hronek discloses a remote preference unit, wherein said conditions comprise time, such that different network selection preferences are sent out based on different times (see col. 6, lines 60-64). Hronek provides different network selection preferences, which can be downloaded to individual mobiles based on time of day.

As per claim 4: Hronek teaches a remote unit (IRDB), wherein a time used to set said preferences is local time at a roaming location (see col. 6, lines 60-64). According to the prior art, time of day is dependant upon current location of a roaming mobile, hence, local.

As per claim 5: Hronek discloses a remote preference unit, wherein said conditions comprise one of profile settings and abilities of an individual roaming handset (see col. 3, lines 45-60). Examiner considers ability as ability of the handset to receive/download preferred roaming list from a remote database and roam using the same.

As per claim 6: Hronek discloses a remote preference unit, wherein said conditions are based on a segment/group of users to which a current handset belongs, such that said preference information is applied to differently to different segments (see col. 5, lines

Art Unit: 2617

13-2). The prior art discloses that only handsets located in a relevant geographic region are updated.

As per claim 7: Hronek discloses a preference unit, wherein said conditions comprise the proportions of roaming users currently connected to different available networks in a give roaming region (see col. 5, lines 58-67).

As per claim 8: Hronek does not explicitly teach about a remote preference unit, wherein said output signal is a failure to reply to a roaming request in a given time, thereby inducing a time-out to said request, as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Jiang teaches about a cellular network traffic redirection technique wherein when a roaming mobile unit attempts to register on a VPMN, the attempt to update location is aborted (paragraphs 0107-108). Since location update/registration is based on a specified time, expiration of that specified time is obvious from Jiang's teaching (see also page 6, paragraph 0092). Motivation is the same as provided in the rejection of claim 1.

Claims 11, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hronek in views of Jiang and Martschitsch (US 2002/0193127 A1).

As per claim 11: some of the features of claim 11 are similar to the features of claim 1, and thus, are rejected on the same ground and motivation. Regarding the difference features, Jiang teaches:

an up-to-date version of at least a part of said database for downloading to said detected mobile units (see abstract, at least lines 1-24), said mobile units thereby being

able to consult said up to date version for network selection (see paragraphs 0033; 0067; 0088)

Page 6

a connection to a SIM card infrastructure through which to download said up-todate version to said units (see 0033; 0067; 0088). But Hronek in view of Jiang does not explicitly teach about downloading an applet to said mobile units to govern use of said up to date version, said applet containing dialing services customized for a current roaming region, as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Martschitsch teaches about method and system for preparing and transmitting SMS messages in a mobile radio tower, wherein a JAVA applet program is downloaded into an identification module (SIM) over an air interface (see paragraph 0035), wherein the downloaded program enables a user select a phone number from a call number directory (see paragraph 0036). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the above references with the teaching of Martschisch for the advantage of enabling a radio network prepare and transmit an SMS to mobile users (see paragraph 0002). As per claim 13: Hronek teaches a preference unit, wherein said connection is operable to download said up to date version using SMS (see col. 11, lines 15-22). As per claim 17: Hronek discloses a remote preference unit, wherein said output unit further comprises an association with said probe for rejecting a roaming request from a respective non-preferred roaming network, in association with said download of said up to date version (see col. 2, lines 4756).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Weiner (US 2004/0204117 A1).

As per claim 16: the above references do not explicitly teach about a preference unit, wherein said dialing services customized for a current roaming region are any of a group comprising intelligent call completion, home short code, world wide number, and iVPN, as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Weiner teaches about roamers' friendly phone book method and device, wherein a roaming handset is provided with dialing services that include, among others, a list of short codes (see paragraphs 0034; 0055-0058). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the above references with the teaching of Weiner for the advantage of providing users with a user friendly phone book (see title).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 11, 13 and 16-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner would like to apologize applicant for rejecting previously objected (indicated as allowable) claims 10, 15 and 16. This was warranted due to a newly discovered reference and careful reconsideration and study of previous applied references under different ground.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,281 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meless N. Zewdu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7873. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Banks-Harold, Marsha can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Flevde, Delen 4-25-06

Meless Zewdu

Examiner

23 April 2006.